

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/052,744

03/31/98

ICMAH

R

CCC1P020/P15

LM02/0926

GARY R. STANFORD AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLLP 816 CONGRESS AVENUE **SUITE 1900** AUSTIN TX 78701

ELAMIN, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2782

DATE MAILED: 09/26/00

EXAMINER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/052,744**

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Abdelmonlem Elamin

Group Art Unit 2782

HAMDI et al

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
🖄 This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirelonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-8, and 10-24</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-8, 10, 11, 14, 17, 18, 23, and 24</u>	is/are rejected.
X Claim(s) <u>12, 13, 15, 16, and 19-22</u>	is/are objected to.
Claims	_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 2782

Response to Amendment

- 1. This response to amendment filed on Jul. 11, 2000 (paper #13).
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8 & 10-24 remain for examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of title 35 US Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 11, 14, 17, 18, 23 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett et al, U.S. Pat. No. 5,870,080 (as discussed in paragraph 5 of the previous office action paper # 11).
- 5. Claims 12, 13, 15, 16 & 19-22 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if written in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any inverting claim (as discussed in paragraph 14 of the previous office action paper # 11).
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on Jul. 11, 2000 (paper #13) have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the following reasons:
- 7. In the remarks, the applicant argues as follows:

Barnett does not teach, disclose, or otherwise suggest the following limitations:

- a- a peripheral hub device [page 5, REMARKS];
- b- an upstream port [page 5, REMARKS], and
- c- a radio frequency transceiver [page 6, REMARKS].

Application/Control Number: 09/052,744

Art Unit: 2782

8. The examiner responses as follows:

a- Burnett's system is capable of sending and receiving signals between the transceiver

and any device (being a peripheral device or a peripheral hub device) having corresponding

transceiver [see col. 7, lines 28-31, 55-56].

b- Burnett teaches an upstream port [see port 111 of Fig. 4].

c- Burnett teaches using radio frequency transceiver [see col. 5, lines 1-2].

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Abdelmoniem I. Elamin

September 25, 2000

THOMAS C. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
CLANCE 2700

Page 3